

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
 )  
Plaintiff, ) No. 05-60008-2-HO  
 )  
v. ) March 18, 2008  
 )  
PIROUZ SEDAGHATY, et al., ) Eugene, Oregon  
 )  
Defendants. )

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE THOMAS M. COFFIN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE

-:-

Deborah Wilhelm, CSR, RPR  
Court Reporter  
P.O. Box 1504  
Eugene, OR 97440  
(541) 431-4113

120

March 18, 2008

APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: CHRISTOPHER L. CARDANI  
United States Attorney's Office  
405 E. 8th Avenue, Suite 2400  
Eugene, OR 97401  
(541) 465-6771  
chris.cardani@usdoj.gov

CHARLES F. GORDER, JR.  
United States Attorney's Office  
1000 S.W. Third Avenue, Suite 600  
Portland, OR 97204-2902  
(503) 727-1021

FOR THE DEFENDANT: LAWRENCE H. MATASAR  
Lawrence Matasar, P.C.  
621 S.W. Morrison Street  
Suite 1025  
Portland, OR 97205  
(503) 222-9830  
larry@pdxlaw.com

STEVEN T. WAX  
Federal Public Defender  
101 S.W. Main Street, Suite 1700  
Portland, OR 97204  
(503) 326-2123  
steve\_wax@fd.org

1 (Tuesday, March 18, 2008; 1:40 p.m.)

2 P R O C E E D I N G S

3 THE CLERK: Now is the time set for the matter  
4 of the *United States of America versus Pirouz Sedaghaty*,  
5 Case Number 05-60008, time set for status hearing and  
6 oral argument on motion number 53 for discovery; motion  
7 number 90, supplemental motion for discovery; and motion  
8 number 91 to extend the motions deadline.

9 Sir, could I have you pronounce your name for  
10 me? I'm understanding I'm pronouncing it incorrectly.

11 THE DEFENDANT: Se-doggehy (phonetic).

12 THE CLERK: Thank you.

13 THE COURT: I assume the government wants an  
14 opportunity to respond to the motion for discovery, and  
15 an opportunity to provide discovery voluntarily, and  
16 then respond in writing to the remainder.

17 MR. CARDANI: Essentially, yes, Your Honor. If  
18 I could elaborate a little bit.

19 THE COURT: Sure.

20 MR. CARDANI: And with me is Charles Gorder  
21 from our Portland office.

22 After the first motion was filed, Judge, we  
23 provided a lot of discovery, 1200 pages of discovery.  
24 And then there is a room downstairs in the U.S.  
25 Attorney's Office that has about 40 boxes of material,

March 18, 2008

1 search warrant records, grand jury material, bank  
2 records and things of the like.

3 The defense has access to that. They've spent  
4 a lot of time reviewing that. They are continuing to do  
5 that as recently as today. And we'll continue to make  
6 that available.

7 The new motion for discovery, we just reviewed  
8 it yesterday afternoon, and it's 18 pages long and has a  
9 lot of specific requests, is a little bit more  
10 problematic. It asks for a lot more material. And we  
11 do need time to file a response to that. And there are  
12 matters that will be very easy to resolve. Cleaner  
13 copies of something, either we have it or we don't. We  
14 will meet with them and get through as much of this that  
15 we can agree on promptly. And I don't see any problem  
16 with that.

17 There are things that we will disagree on.  
18 We'll file a response at some point, because we think  
19 it's a little bit outside of Rule 16 and other discovery  
20 rules.

21 The more problematic part of this new motion is  
22 that it requests very specific things from agencies that  
23 we're not directly involved with, agencies back in  
24 Washington, D.C., and it asks for very specific  
25 evidence, which, if it exists, is in a classified

1 nature, either we don't have access to it or it can't  
2 just be bellowed out in court.

3 We've had consultations, based on the new  
4 motion, this morning with people from the National  
5 Security Division back at main Justice. And they have  
6 assigned a lawyer to this. We've had talks, continuous  
7 discussions with NSD, but we sent them this motion, and  
8 asked for some advice on how to respond.

9 And what they have suggested is that this  
10 motion, Mr. Wax and Mr. Matasar's motion, vis-a-vis the  
11 classified evidence request, must involve a  
12 consideration of the Classified Information Procedures  
13 Act, also known as CIPA, C-I-P-A. I have a copy for the  
14 court if the court wants to see it. It's in the code  
15 book. It's not something I've been involved in. I  
16 think Mr. Wax has.

17 But what it involves, Judge, is that it is  
18 involved in situations where there is classified  
19 evidence that may or may not be involved and how the  
20 court is to consider it. So it's a way to review  
21 classified information through the discovery process in  
22 a criminal case, and then the court makes calls on  
23 whether it should or should not be discovered, and the  
24 admissibility and all that.

25 For the court to do that, though, the court

March 18, 2008

1 staff needs to be cleared with security clearances. And  
2 Judge Hogan's staff is in the process of being clear,  
3 the court reporter, the court clerk, judicial clerks. A  
4 safe has been delivered, I'm told, to Judge Hogan's  
5 chambers. So that's -- that process is underway to get  
6 the staff cleared.

7 I would envision that the comprehensive  
8 response to the new motion is going to involve CIPA  
9 filings, filings to the court that Judge Hogan is going  
10 to have to consider. And, actually, a great part of the  
11 new motion will involve that. I don't know how much  
12 because we -- this is an ongoing process. We've already  
13 traveled back to Washington, D.C. once to meet with some  
14 people like that. It's an ongoing process. And it's,  
15 frankly, quite new to me. But they will become involved  
16 in this with Judge Hogan eventually.

17 And I might add, the defense has come across  
18 some material that they gave to our office, and  
19 ultimately to Judge -- Judge Hogan was involved in  
20 proceedings on this. The defense claims that they have  
21 top secret information that they have. I don't know  
22 what it is. They haven't shown it to us, but they gave  
23 it to --

24 THE COURT: Top secret from government  
25 information?

March 18, 2008

7

1 MR. CARDANI: I don't know.

2 THE COURT: How did they get it?

3 MR. CARDANI: I don't know. That's a question  
4 for the defense. I don't know. But I do know that I  
5 can let Mr. Gorder speak to the events, but information  
6 was given to us in a bag that we do not have access to,  
7 and it was told to us that it was top secret material,  
8 and that we were not to look at it because it may  
9 involve attorney-client information.

10 That was eventually given to -- there was a  
11 meeting with Judge Hogan and Mr. Wax and Mr. Matasar,  
12 Mr. Sedaghaty, I believe. I wasn't invited into that  
13 meeting. But there was a meeting of some sort. And  
14 that top secret information has been ordered by Judge  
15 Hogan to be sent to Washington, D.C.

16 My point is, you -- Mr. Wax can elucidate you  
17 on that if the court has any questions. But my point,  
18 Judge, is that Judge Hogan is involved in some of the  
19 classified discovery phases of this already. This will  
20 not be an ordinary case by any stretch of the  
21 imagination. And so we are going to need time to  
22 respond to Judge Hogan with CIPA filings.

23 THE COURT: All right. Anything from the  
24 defense side that you wish to share with me?

25 MR. WAX: Our thought, Judge, is that the best

March 18, 2008

1 way to proceed would be for the government to go through  
2 each of the 70 some odd items that are specified in the  
3 two pleadings. And we're confident that we will be able  
4 to come to agreement on a fair number of them.

5 We expect that there will be a few on which the  
6 court will need to get involved. Our sense is that  
7 there will be some items that have nothing to do with  
8 classified information on which a court ruling will be  
9 necessary.

10 In terms of, you know, how the court proceeds,  
11 that is between you and Judge Hogan, I mean, we really  
12 have nothing to offer on that. We see that this is a  
13 situation in which the court could decide that it would  
14 make more sense for Judge Hogan to handle it all, if  
15 he's dealing with classified material; or that you could  
16 deal with the nonclassified portions and Judge Hogan  
17 deal with the classified portions, whatever seems most  
18 appropriate to the court.

19 THE COURT: Well, I think it should be one  
20 judge, and -- because that judge then will understand  
21 better the relationship between the items that are being  
22 sought for discovery, some of which may not be  
23 classified, others of which may be classified or are  
24 classified. And so it should be one judge with  
25 knowledge of all the information making that



1 determination. And since Judge Hogan and his staff are  
2 undergoing the process of getting clearance to be able  
3 to review this material, then, obviously, it should be  
4 Judge Hogan that's going to handle these discovery  
5 requests.

6 In the meantime, I can handle other facets of  
7 the case such as scheduling, et cetera. So, obviously,  
8 you want additional time before you file any motion.

9 Do you have any estimate as to how much time  
10 will be needed to resolve the discovery matters, because  
11 they are not going to want to have to file their motions  
12 until after they have complete discovery, I would  
13 imagine.

14 MR. CARDANI: Judge, if you could bear with me,  
15 this, again, is new to me. What I'm told is that the  
16 procedure is that we have to file a formal motion. We  
17 would have done it, but this new motion --

18 THE COURT: A formal motion?

19 MR. CARDANI: Under this CIPA -- under Section  
20 2 of CIPA.

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MR. CARDANI: We are going to file a motion  
23 with the court, whether it's you or Judge Hogan, and ask  
24 for a scheduling conference. And so at that point, I  
25 presume it would be Judge Hogan, we will at that point

1 be coming up to that status conference, that scheduling  
2 hearing, we'll talk to the lawyers back at the agencies,  
3 NSD, who will be assisting us in filing these responses,  
4 and asking them exactly -- a timetable, how much time  
5 that we can plan on asking for to file a response.

6 So I think that -- I would suggest that we be  
7 able to file that CIPA motion and ask for a scheduling  
8 conference with Judge Hogan. I understand he's not here  
9 today. But we could do that very soon, and then set a  
10 scheduling conference -- a scheduling deadline on our  
11 response to the discovery motions. That's having to do  
12 with the classified information.

13 On the other things, as I said, we're perfectly  
14 happy to continue to meet with the lawyers and their  
15 investigators and iron out anything that we can, but  
16 there will be things that we're not going to be able to  
17 agree on. They've requested access to letters that an  
18 investigator sent to someone and things like that that  
19 we believe are, you know, clearly under Rule 16 not  
20 discovery, because they involve internal memoranda of  
21 the agencies. There will be things like that that we'll  
22 need some refereeing on. And we -- we can do that. I  
23 agree, though, that it may be wiser to have one judge  
24 rule on this, because there may be a lot of spillover on  
25 some of these things.

1 THE COURT: I would imagine so. Well, I think  
2 the relief I can grant today is to strike the March 18th  
3 deadline for filing pretrial motions.

4 MR. MATASAR: Thank you, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: So I'll grant that motion. And so  
6 you are suggesting we let Judge Hogan set a new date for  
7 filing pretrial motions?

8 MR. CARDANI: Yes, Your Honor.

9 MR. MATASAR: Your Honor, we agree with that,  
10 but the one thing I would ask is it seems to me that the  
11 government should be able to respond to our motion  
12 paragraph by paragraph if you include in that some one  
13 sentence thing that will say, this will be dealt with by  
14 the CIPA process, that will be good enough. We don't  
15 expect them to give us a long, detailed response to  
16 those. But if they could respond to the whole motion,  
17 that will give us a real roadmap or a way to deal with  
18 the status conference we're going to have with Judge  
19 Hogan. Otherwise, we'll just be there without a point-  
20 by-point aspect of what the disagreement is or agreement  
21 is. So, again, we would request that they simply  
22 respond to the motion with the understanding that they  
23 may not be able to go very deeply into the classified  
24 requests.

25 THE COURT: And to do that at some point prior

March 18, 2008

12

1 to the pretrial conference?

2 MR. MATASAR: Yes, maybe you can say within  
3 30 days they should do that, that sort of thing.

4 MR. CARDANI: Judge, there are parts of that  
5 that we could easily do that to, provide better copies  
6 of these things, and things like that, that are  
7 clearly -- won't involve any consideration of classified  
8 material.

9 But there are a number of these things which  
10 may involve some -- one paragraph may involve some  
11 things that are very easy, but also may involve stuff  
12 that we don't even know about that I don't want to be  
13 bound in writing to say, here you go, here is our  
14 response without being able to confer with the lawyers  
15 at NSD to find out if there is any other responsive  
16 information.

17 MR. MATASAR: That would be fine, Your Honor.  
18 They can simply say that. It will still give us a way  
19 to move forward.

20 THE COURT: Okay. Will that work for you?

21 MR. CARDANI: I think so.

22 THE COURT: Okay. Do that within 30 days.

23 MR. CARDANI: Excuse me, may I?

24 THE COURT: Sure.

25 (Discussion held off the record between

1 Mr. Gorder and Mr. Cardani.)

2 MR. CARDANI: Yes, if we could have 30 days to  
3 file a response, that would suffice. And if we could  
4 also ask the indulgence of the court to set a hearing  
5 with Judge Hogan. Do we have access to his calendar  
6 for -- we'll file that motion by the end of this week  
7 under CIPA.

8 THE COURT: Okay.

9 MR. CARDANI: We would ask for --

10 THE COURT: Pretrial conference hearing? I  
11 think under Section 2 that's what it calls for.

12 MR. CARDANI: Yes, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: How much time do you estimate this  
14 hearing will take? Do you --

15 MR. CARDANI: From the government's standpoint,  
16 I don't think it would take very long, because I think  
17 it will just be a matter of telling Judge Hogan what  
18 we've been told from NSD in terms of how much time they  
19 think they need to file or assist us in filing a formal  
20 response. So I think it would be fairly routine.

21 MR. WAX: I agree with that, Judge. And I  
22 think this initial conference will be somewhat similar  
23 to this proceeding with the government saying, we need  
24 some time to figure out what we're going to say.

25 THE COURT: Okay. So about an hour, Christy.

March 18, 2008

1 THE CLERK: Hearing before Judge Hogan April  
2 22nd, 2008, 10:00 a.m.

3 MR. CARDANI: Judge, could we talk about  
4 alternative dates that may be around? Mr. Gorder has a  
5 conflict in Portland.

6 THE CLERK: Counsel, the next date I have  
7 following that -- you are wanting this after your 30-day  
8 response period, correct?

9 MR. WAX: Yes, we think that makes sense.

10 THE CLERK: April 29th.

11 THE REPORTER: Is it going to involve CIPA  
12 matters?

13 MR. MATASAR: Probably just vaguely.

14 THE REPORTER: I'm gone and I'm the only  
15 reporter getting clearance.

16 THE CLERK: After that is May 6th. Are you  
17 back then?

18 THE REPORTER: No.

19 THE COURT: Well, why don't we do it April 22nd  
20 and allow Mr. Gorder to participate by phone. Will that  
21 work for you --

22 MR. GORDER: Your Honor, my problem -- and I  
23 know in the large scale of things may not be that big a  
24 problem, I'm scheduled to meet with a group of Jordanian  
25 judges who are visiting the United States on a State

1 Department tour that morning from 9:00 to noon.

2 THE COURT: What if we did it in the afternoon?

3 MR. GORDON: The afternoon will work fine.

4 THE CLERK: Judge Hogan would prefer that Judge  
5 Hogan's staff set something if they set it in the  
6 afternoon.

7 THE COURT: What?

8 THE CLERK: If we're going to do an afternoon  
9 setting, I'd prefer that we just refer the setting to  
10 Judge Hogan's staff. He doesn't set anything after  
11 noon.

12 THE COURT: How about the 23rd?

13 MR. WAX: I cannot be here on the 23rd. I'm  
14 sorry.

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 (Discussion held off the record.)

17 MR. CARDANI: I don't think there will be any  
18 CIPA matters.

19 THE COURT: Okay. Then the 29th.

20 THE CLERK: Let me just give you a time. 10:45  
21 on April 29th.

22 MR. CARDANI: Judge, a couple of other matters.  
23 As I recall, this matter has been previously deemed  
24 complex for Speedy Trial Act purposes, so we don't need  
25 to worry about excluding time.

1 THE COURT: Yes. That's right. What's the  
2 trial date?

3 THE CLERK: October 8th.

4 THE COURT: So I excluded all time up to and  
5 including October 8th as a complex case. So when you  
6 have your pretrial conference with Judge Hogan, if you  
7 feel that trial date needs to be adjusted, you can do  
8 that at that time.

9 MR. CARDANI: One other matter, it's just come  
10 to our attention recently that Mr. Seda has personally  
11 had some interaction with a potential witness for the  
12 government, and we would like to, at some point, move to  
13 amend pretrial release conditions, although that, too,  
14 seems to be before Judge Hogan. I don't know whether we  
15 discuss that with you or Judge Hogan, but we would like  
16 to file a motion to amend the conditions to prevent him  
17 from have any access to an identified list that we'll  
18 provide to the court as part of the release.

19 THE COURT: No, I can hear that part of it.  
20 Have you notified Mr. Matasar and Mr. Wax of the list?

21 MR. CARDANI: No. We just found out about it  
22 within the last couple of hours. What we can do is put  
23 together a list, run it by counsel, and see if it's  
24 appropriate for them, and, if so, just present it as an  
25 unopposed motion.



March 18, 2008

1 THE COURT: All right. And if it's opposed, I  
2 can have a hearing on it.

3 MR. CARDANI: Very good.

4 THE COURT: Okay. Does that conclude  
5 everything?

6 MR. CARDANI: Yes, Your Honor.

7 (The proceedings were concluded at 1:58 p.m.)  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

## CERTIFICATE

I, Deborah Wilhelm, Certified Shorthand Reporter for the State of Oregon, do hereby certify that I was present at and reported in machine shorthand the oral proceedings had in the above-entitled matter. I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of my skill and ability, dated this 18th day of July, 2008.



*Deborah Wilhelm*  
Deborah Wilhelm, RPR  
Certified Shorthand Reporter  
Certificate No. 00-0363